UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,969	04/10/2006	Kwai Ming Cheung	010180.00047	1639
22907 BANNER & W	7590 11/07/200 ITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STRI		CHU, YONG LIANG		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-4051	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/561,969	CHEUNG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
YONG CHU	1626		

	YONG CHU	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application in Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of g replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extra Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	onsideration and/or search (see NOī ow);	ΓE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: The pending claims are not ready for allow 	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
requires further search and examination on pater 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	ntability of newly amended claims . (S 121. See attached Notice of Non-Co):	See 37 CFR 1.116 and mpliant Amendment (I	l 41.33(a)). PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. 	□ will not be entered, or b) □ wil		_
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	vided below of appended.		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a No nd sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		, 20.01. 0. 4.44.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Kamal A Saeed,/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1626	